

This project received funding from the Australian Government





Simulation-based Learning Program

Clinical educator workbook: Day 5

Developed as part of the *Embedding Simulation in Clinical Training in Speech Pathology* project 2014 – 2018



Funding:

This resource was developed for the *Embedding Simulation in Clinical Training in Speech Pathology* project (2014-2018) which was supported by funding from the Australian Government, Department of Health, under the Simulated Learning Environments Program.

Speech Pathology Australia, as the funded organisation, subcontracted The University of Queensland to lead this project.

Project leadership team (authors):

The University of Queensland	The University of Newcastle
Dr Anne Hill (project lead)	Dr Sally Hewat
Prof Elizabeth Ward	Ms Joanne Walters
Ms Adriana Penman (project officer)	The University of Sydney
Ms Emma Caird (project officer)	Prof Patricia McCabe
Ms Danielle Aldridge (project officer)	A/Prof Alison Purcell
The University of Melbourne	Dr Robert Heard
A/Prof Bronwyn Davidson	Prof Sue McAllister
Griffith University	Speech Pathology Australia
Prof Elizabeth Cardell	Ms Stacey Baldac
Ms Simone Howells	
La Trobe University	

Citation/attribution format:

Ms Rachel Davenport

Hill, A.E., Ward, E., Davidson, B., McCabe, P., Purcell, A., Heard, R., McAllister, S., Hewat, S., Walters J., Cardell, E., Howells, S., Davenport, R., Baldac, S., Penman, A., Caird, E., Aldridge, D. (2018). *Embedding Simulation in Clinical Training in Speech Pathology*. Melbourne: Speech Pathology Australia.

@ O S

This work is licenced under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>. You are free to copy, communicate and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes only provided that you credit the authors of the work, attribute Speech Pathology Australia and comply with other notice requirements set out under the licence.

© Speech Pathology Australia 2018

DISCLAIMER

To the extent possible under law, the material in this publication is supplied as-is and as-available, and Speech Pathology Australia makes no representations or warranties of any kind whether express, implied, statutory, or otherwise. This includes, without limitation, warranties of title, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non-infringement, absence of latent or other defects, accuracy, or the presence or absence of errors, whether or not known or discoverable. Where disclaimers of warranties are not allowed in full or in part, this disclaimer may not apply.

To the extent possible under law, Speech Pathology Australia will not be liable to you on any legal theory (including, without limitation, negligence) or otherwise for any direct, special, indirect, incidental, consequential, punitive, exemplary, or other losses, costs, expenses, or damages arising out of the use of the material in this publication. Where a limitation of liability is not allowed in full or in part, this limitation may not apply.

Contents

Day 5 Run Sheet	3
top-Keep-Start Debriefing tool	7
imulation 12: Mr Jim & Mrs Betty Parker	8
ession overview	9
ebriefing tool1	1
imulation 13: Case handover1	3
ebriefing tool1	5
nd of Simulation-based Learning Program – activities1	6

Day 5 timetable - overview

Day 5	
8:30am	Stop-Start-Keep debrief
8:45am	General preparation time
9:00am	Simulation 12: Mr James (Jim) Parker + Betty Parker
10:15am	Simulation 13: Speech pathology case handover
11:30am	Debrief Simulations 12 + 13
	Simulated patient feedback
12:30pm	End of Simulation Program activities
1:30pm	Close of Day 5

Day 5 Run Sheet

Time	Simulation team	Activity/simulation	Location	Student learning	Debriefing tool
8:30am-	Clinical educator	Stop-Keep-Start Debrief	Teaching Room	Students will reflect on	Stop-Keep-Start
8:45am				experiences of simulation	
				program so far and prepare	
				for the final day of the	
				program.	
8:45am –	Clinical educator	General Preparation Time	Teaching room		
9:00am					
9:00am –	Clinical educator	Simulation 12: Mr Jim and Mrs Betty	Discharge	1. Communicate results of	
10:15am		Parker	lounge	the VFSS assessment to	
	Simulated patient	ightarrow Immersion session with simulated		client and partner /	
	(Jim)	patients.		carer using appropriate	
<u>NB:</u> if there is	Simulated patient			lay language.	
more than 1	(Betty)	Simulation 12 case: Mr Jim Parker.		2. Make appropriate	
Simulation		70 yo Male. Inpatient Gen Med. UTI		choices regarding	
unit,		and Delirium. Dysphagia.		food/fluid	
Simulations				recommendations.	
12 and 13 will		Student pair will do discuss results of		3. Clearly explain to a	
be set up as		VFSS with Jim and Betty and outline		client how he will	
rotations to		recommendations for diet/fluids.		manage his dysphagia	
maximise use		Clinical educator will observe session		on return home.	
of simulated		and may utilise time in/out method			
patient time.		as required.			
All student			Teaching room		
units will		Other students will not observe and			
complete		are able to use different workspace			
both		to rehearse/ prepare for Simulation			

CE workbook – Day 5 National Speech Pathology Simulation Project 2014-2018 3

Time	Simulation team	Activity/simulation	Location	Student learning	Debriefing tool
simulations		12 or Simulation 13 or complete			
between		debrief activities.			
9:00am and					
11:30am		Simulation timing:			
however		75 mins simulation - each student			
order may		pair has 15 mins with the simulated			
vary.		patients. Time has been allowed for			
		changeover.			
10:15am –	Clinical educator	Simulation 13: Case handover to	Simulated	1. Communicate key	
11:30am		clinical educator	speech	points of familiar case	
			pathology	including: general	
		Scenario: As this is the final day at	department or	history, initial	
		NSHS, the students will be required	hospital	presentation, known	
		to provide their clinical educator with	meeting room	diagnoses, assessment	
		a case handover of a case that they		results, progress to	
		have reviewed during the Simulation		date and current	
		Program. Each student will present		Tx/Mx plans.	
		on one case individually and will have		2. Effectively	
		be advised as to which case they are		communicate using	
		presenting.		oral communication	
				skills during	
		Student Task:		presentation to	
		1. Spend 2-3 minutes		peers/colleagues.	
		summarising the key points		3. Actively participate in	
		of a case including:		structured group	
		presentation, diagnosis,		discussion of case	

Time	Simulation team	Activity/simulation	Location	Student learning	Debriefing tool
		interventions, impressions, recommendations and follow up plan. 2. Discuss case with peers/clinical educator		management options for known/ familiar cases.	
		Simulation timing: 75 mins simulation			
11:30am –	Clinical educator	Debrief Simulations 12 and 13	Teaching room		Simulation 12:
12:30pm	Simulated patient (Jim)	Complete debrief workbook activities			Appreciative Inquiry or Advocacy Inquiry
	Simulated patient (Betty)	Simulated patient feedback Clinical educator to introduce simulated patient out of role to students for feedback.			Simulation 13: Plus Delta
	All students – large group discussion	Simulated patient to provide feedback to all students using structured <i>Simulated Patient</i> <i>Feedback to Students</i> form.			
12:30pm- 1:30pm	Clinical educator.	 End of Simulation Program activities Document Day 5 statistics. Final debrief. 	Teaching room		Stop-Keep-Start

Time	Simulation team	Activity/simulation	Location	Student learning	Debriefing tool
		 Discussion re: take home skills, transference of skills and transitioning to traditional clinics. Question time. 			
1:30pm		Close of Day 5			

Stop-Keep-Start Debriefing tool	Clinical educator prompts	Feedback /notes
Stop - Keep – Start		
 Stop - Keep – Start Focusses attention on behaviours to 1. Stop doing 2. Keep doing, and 3. Start doing 	 Can conduct this as a group, pair or individually: Reflect on your learning from the 3 days so far of the simulation week. Are there behaviours that you think you will stop doing? Have others advised this? If you stop doing some behaviours, do you think this will open up the opportunity to try something new and different for the remainder of the simulation days? Are there behaviours that you're doing right that you feel, and others feel you should do more of? If you 'keep' these behaviours, how might this help your learning for the remainder of the simulation days? What behaviours do you think you will start in the remainder of the simulation days? Have others suggested behaviours to start? Why do you think this is the case? What benefits do you think this will bring to your learning? 	

SIMULATION 12: Mr Jim & Mrs Betty Parker

Overview of the simulation	 Speech pathology have assessed Jim's swallowing and found him to be requiring a modified diet and fluids to manage his suspected
	 aspiration pneumonia. Speech pathology have also requested a Videofluroscopy Swallow Study (VFSS) to confirm the likelihood that he may be silently aspirating.
	 The medical team are happy with Jim's current medical status as his UTI has almost resolved and so too has his confusion. The medical team have advised Jim and Betty that he requires the report of the speech pathology assessment results and then he will be able to be discharged home.
	 The medical team did advise Jim and Betty that it will be likely that he will require a modified diet and fluids for a short period of time whilst at home but the speech pathologist will need to provide information and education regarding this. Jim has not had any previous history of dysphagia.
	Jim's wife Betty is present at the discharge interview. Jim and Betty are waiting to hear from the speech pathologist with regards to the swallowing assessment results. Once this information has been conveyed, Jim will be able to be discharged home.
	Jim and Betty are meeting with the speech pathology students to discuss:
	 The results of Jim's Videofluroscopy Swallow Study (VFSS) Recommendations for the types of fluids and foods that Jim is safest to eat based on the results of the VFSS. Plans for ongoing monitoring of Jim's swallowing by speech pathology on his return home.
	Each session will run for 15minutes .
	Each student pair will have an opportunity to conduct the session with Jim and Betty. The session will repeat 3-4 times depending on the number of student pairs.
Setting	Jim and Betty will be waiting outside a speech pathology office for the student clinicians.

Learning objectives	• The students will conduct the session in pairs. Other students will
	not be observing the session.
	 It is expected they will demonstrate the following skills: 1. Effectively communicate the results of the assessment using appropriate language.
	 Make appropriate choice regarding modified foods and fluids in the management of a known patient.
	 Clearly explain to the patient and carer how to appropriately manage his dysphagia in a community/home environment
	 Respond effectively and appropriately to patient and family questions and concerns.
Debriefing model/s	Appreciative Inquiry or Advocacy Inquiry

Session overview

NB: This overview <u>has</u> been provided to students to allow them to focus on other learning objectives in this simulation.

- Introduction and outline of the session: re-introduction to Jim and introduction to Betty. Provide an
 outline of the session VFSS results, diet and fluid recommendations and modifications required,
 management plan for discharge home. Revise the role of speech pathology with regards to
 swallowing management.
- 2. **Clinical bedside swallowing management:** provide a brief overview of bedside management of swallowing whilst Jim has been admitted to hospital.
- 3. **VFSS results:** students to discuss the VFSS procedure and provide reasons as to why this was required to be conducted with Jim. Briefly outline the results to Jim and Betty.
- 4. **Recommendations:** Discuss swallowing recommendations diet and fluid modifications and strategies. Students will need to provide information and education about thickened fluids and how to achieve the desired diet modifications including foods to avoid. Advise Jim and Betty that thickened fluids will be delivered to the home so there will be no need to thicken fluids.
- 5. **Plan:** Discuss the plan which includes: a referral to a community speech pathologist who will be able to visit Jim at home, reassess his swallow and determine the need for ongoing diet and fluid modifications, repeat VFSS in 2-4 weeks here at the hospital.
- 6. **Education and support:** provide education regarding speech pathology services and answer any questions regarding swallowing.
- 7. **Follow-up plan and questions:** Discuss understanding of information provided, opportunity for further questions. Clarification of follow-up plan at home.

Patient Name: Jim & Betty

• Session Goal: Explain results of VFSS assessment and modifications to foods/fluids recommended following the VFSS.

Session element	Goal / activity	Time	Materials
1. Welcome / Intro /	Student clinicians to		N/A
Explanation of Session	 Introduce themselves to Jim and Betty. 		
	Outline the aims of the session.		
	 Revise the role of the speech pathologist with regard to swallowing. 		
	 Provide an overview of bedside management. 		
2. Results of VFSS	Student clinicians to	10 mins	iPad Dysphagia app
	Remind Jim that the procedure was done		
	Briefly explain results of assessment		Diet/fluids poster
	 What did the VFSS demonstrate? 		
	 How does this differ from a normal swallow? 		
	 What does this mean for Jim? 		
	Outline recommendations		
	 What are the recommendations? Why have they been recommended? 		
	 What is a modified diet and/or thickened fluids? 		
	 How do they modify Jim's diet and fluids in the community? 		
4. Wrap Up / Questions	Student clinicians to	3-4 mins	N/A
/ Plan	• Discuss the plan for the future i.e. referral to community SLT, repeat VFSS and		
	what they should do if they have questions in the interim.		
	 Answer any of Jim and Betty's questions 		
	 Clarify any information. 		

Notes:

Debriefing Simulation 12			
Intended learning outcomes	Debriefing tool	Clinical educator prompts	Feedback /notes
 After participation in this clinical simulation, students will be able to: Effectively communicate the results of the assessment using appropriate language. Make appropriate choice regarding modified foods and fluids in the management of a known patient. Clearly explain to the patient and carer how to appropriately manage his dysphagia in a community/home environment Respond effectively and appropriately to patient and family questions and concerns. 	Appreciative Inquiry The assumption of appreciative inquiry is that in every situation, something works. This approach looks for what works in a situation or learning environment and focuses on doing more of this.	 Thinking about that simulation Tell me what worked really well in that simulation? What did you as a person, or you as a group do well? What made it work well? Describe a specific time when you felt you/your group performed really well. What were the circumstances during that time? What do you think contributed to this working so well? Do you have some ideas about how you could use/do more (what worked well) within your clinical practice? 	
	OR		
	Advocacy inquiry This approach is based on advocacy from the facilitator in the form of objective observation and inquiry which explores with the learner what happened in a curious way	 Thinking about that simulation How did that feel? Can you summarise what your simulation was about so we are all on the same page? I observed you (group or individual) doing 	

before th ways forv	 I was really comfortable with this because OR I was concerned about this because Tell me why happened? Help me understand why happened? (Ask the group for input) Has this happened to anyone else? (Brainstorm solutions) How have you dealt with this in the past? Can anyone think of any solutions or strategies? Summary and wrap up In summary, today we learned about 			
Clinical educator self-evaluation at conclusion of simulation				
1. What worked well with this simulation?				
2. What didn't work well with this simulation?				
3. How was the timing for this simulation?				

SIMULATION 13: Case handover

Overview of the simulation	As this is the final day at the NSHS, the students will be required to provide their clinical educator with a case handover of a case that they reviewed during the simulation program. This information might be used to provide another clinician with assistance to determine weekend service triage.		
	Each student will present on one case individually.		
	<u>NB:</u> 7 patients in total 8 students = 1 patient per student with Margie shared between 2 students;		
	 7 students = 1 patient per student; 6 students = 1 patient per student and do not discuss Selwyn as patient has been discharged 		
	Student task: • Spend 2-3 minutes summarising the key points of a case including: • Presentation • Diagnosis • Interventions (speech pathology and other disciplines) • Impressions • Recommendations • Follow up plan.		
	Discuss case with peers/clinical educator and answer any questions.		
Setting	Room is to be set up similar to that of a speech pathology meeting room.		
Learning objectives	 After participation in this clinical simulation, students will be able to: 1. Clearly and concisely communicate the key points of a familiar patient including general history, initial presentation, known diagnoses, assessment results, progress to date and current intervention/management plan 2. Effectively communicate skills during an oral presentation to peers/colleagues. 		

	 Actively participate in structured group discussion of case management options for known/familiar patients.
Debriefing model/s	Plus Delta

Debriefing Simulation 13					
Intended learning outcomes	Debriefing tool	Clinical educator prompts	Feedback /notes		
 After participation in this clinical simulation, students will be able to: Clearly and concisely communicate the key points of a familiar patient including general history, initial presentation, known diagnoses, assessment results, progress to date and current intervention/management plan Effectively communicate skills during an oral presentation to peers/colleagues. Actively participate in structured group discussion of case management options for known/familiar patients. 	Plus Delta Plus defines what is going well. Delta defines what needs changing to improve learning	 Thinking about that simulation: What went well in that simulation? What did you observe in others that worked well in that simulation? What do you think you need to change to improve your learning? (as a group or individually) 			
Clinical educator self-evaluation at conclusion of simulation					
1. What worked well with this simulation?					
2. What didn't work well with this simulation?					
 How was the timing for this simulation? What would you do differently next time? 					
4. What would you do dhielendy heat dhie:					

End of Simulation-based Learning Program – activities

- 1. Complete final statistics for the day
- 2. Final Stop-Keep-Start reflection
- Engage students in a final reflection task for the simulation program. You may wish to link this back to the learning goals put forward by the students on Day 1 of the program.
 - What is something that you would like to stop doing?
 - What is something that you would like to keep doing?
 - What is something that you would like to start doing?
- Students should be encouraged to provide feedback to their peers about skills/behaviours they believe that their peers should keep doing. This is a positive focus and builds on feedback provided to peers in debriefing sessions.
- Learning goals for each student
 - If not addressed above, revisit discussion from Day 1 of learning goals nominated by students in advance of the Simulation Program (goals which had emerged from their previous clinic/s).
 - Discuss, as a group, what the students learning goals may be for their traditional clinical placement (if applicable).

3. Review of learning within the Simulation-based Learning Program

The simulation environment provides unique learning opportunities. As a group, discuss skills that were learnt in the simulation program and how these skills are transferable into other placement contexts. Encourage students to think broadly about their learning across the 5 days of the Simulation Program and what they can take into the next clinical placement. Encourage students to be very specific in naming the skills they believe that they can transfer and how they might do this/what situations they may be in which could prompt use of these skills.

Discussion may focus on:

- communication skills
- professional practice skills (time management, understanding of role of Speech Pathologist)
- team work skills (e.g. working with MDT members, working with peers)
- reasoning skills
- reflective practice skills
- observation skills

Consider the following questions to facilitate your discussion:

- 1. What were the key skills and learning strategies that you learnt in the Simulation Program?
- 2. Which skills learnt in the Simulation Program will you be able to the transfer into your traditional clinical placement?

4. Consideration of how the traditional clinical placement will be different from the simulation environment?

Discuss how the traditional clinic environment will be *different* from the simulation environment. This will help to facilitate students' transition to traditional clinical

placements. Discuss strategies and options to manage the changes in the transition from a simulated environment to a traditional setting.

Work through the points below:

- Examples -
 - Learning environment. Some learning strategies may not be appropriate or easy to implement in a traditional clinical setting e.g. pause and discuss during client sessions.
 - Real clinical environment. You will need to keep your focus on clients at all times throughout your sessions.
 - Clinical educator focus. This will be dual in the traditional setting. CE will be focussed on the client as well as you.
 - Methods of feedback. Feedback may not always be provided straight after a simulation. It may be provided after several clients and/or 'on the move' between clients.
 - There may not be as much direct reflection time with the clinical educator in your next clinical placement. Different types of reflection may be required in this setting. Think about how you can use reflective practices that you've learned in simulation. For example, you could initiate your own STOP-KEEP-START reflection. You could email your CE periodically with such reflections.
 - Different settings will have different ways of working. Be flexible in learning about new approaches and ways of doing things. This will enrich your clinical development.
 - You may not see a wide variety of client presentations. Engage in the learning experiences that you are offered and make the most of them.

5. Consideration of the clinics that each student will be going into following the Simulation Program.

- Talk about the caseloads and populations that might be seen in these setting.
- Talk about differences in the environment in which they are working i.e. acute hospital, community (client's homes), outpatient settings.
- Ask the students to think about the specific skills that they can take from simulation into the next clinical environment.
- Ask students to consider what skills they will still need to develop in their next placement and future placements.

6. The Workbook

Remind students that their workbooks are valuable resources for their future clinical learning.

7. Questions

Close of Day 5 and Simulation-based Learning Program.